

ADVISORY COMMITTEE REPORT MASTER'S AND DOCTORAL RESEARCH PROGRAMS

STUDENT IDENTIFICATION							
Last name, First name			Student ID		Status in Canada		
Department/School		Program			Option (if applicable)		
Semester and year of admission		Registration status			Cumulative average /4,3		
Supervisor			Co-supervisor				
Main location of your research activities (campus or affiliated research center)							
GUIDELINES							
<p>The Advisory Committee must meet at least once per year, or more often (see Policy on the constitution and operation of Advisory Committees for Master's and Doctoral Research Students in the Faculty of Medicine). At the meeting, the Advisory Committee assesses the competencies listed below, and discusses them with the student following the presentation/discussion. In the space provided or in an attached document, the Committee reports on the project's progress and its overall assessment of the project and the student. It specifies the objectives to be achieved over the coming year (academic training, compulsory steps along the way, results, publications, conferences, etc.).</p> <p>The student is responsible for submitting the report to the graduate program director, on behalf of the Advisory Committee, no later than three weeks after the meeting. Any problematic situation must be reported to the graduate program director. In cases where intervention with the student is appropriate, the graduate program director has primary responsibility. If intervention with the supervisor is necessary, it is the responsibility of the department head.</p> <p>This report must be filed in the student's file, after being signed by the graduate program director.</p>						<p>Appreciation</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Problematic 2. Low 3. Good 4. Very Good 5. Excellent 	
PROGRESS AND ACTIVITIES REPORT							
<p>On the basis of the assessment submitted by the student and according to the training objectives, we consider that the student's progress and activities are:</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Meeting expectations Exceeding expectations Below expectations Specific problematic situation</p>							
SKILLS ASSESSMENT <i>(If you give a rating of 1 or 2, you must justify your assessment with a comment).</i>							
	Criteria	Appreciation					*Comments <i>(if necessary, add an appendix)</i>
		1	2	3	4	5	
1	Subject knowledge						
2	Related scientific knowledge						
3	Ability to formulate hypotheses						
4	Coherent project presentation						
5	Analytical and synthesis skills						
6	Critical thinking						
7	Capacity to receive feedback						
<p>Specific issues related to the project's implementation or progress</p>							

OBJECTIVES FOR THE COMING YEAR		
The plan proposed by the student is realistic and approved. The plan proposed by the student has been modified.		
The changes to the original plan are as follows:		
*SPECIFIC COMMENTS		
SIGNATURES OF THE COMMITTEE MEMBERS AND THE STUDENT		
_____ Signature - Advisor acting as president	_____ Name	_____ Date
_____ Signature - Advisor	_____ Name	_____ Date
_____ Signature - Supervisor	_____ Signature - Co-supervisor	_____ Date
_____ Student's signature*	<i>*I have read this evaluation (if necessary, please attach your comments on a separate sheet)</i>	_____ Date

